



M. Hursin (PSI)

# Compilation of the past methodological presentations





#### Methodology report

- SG46 mandate states that a methodology should be formalized addressing the following points:
  - 1. Selecting appropriate experiments (focus on separate effects).
  - 2. Analyzing C/E by isotope, reaction, and energy range in order to point out compensation effects.
  - 3. Computing sensitivity coefficients of selected experiments and integral parameters.
  - 4. Performing new generalized adjustments to provide unambiguous feedbacks.
  - 5. Use of covariance data for angular distributions, secondary energy distribution from inelastic scattering.
- In May 2021, we decided to tackle this part of the mandate by releasing a report summarizing the methodological developments presented far
  - A (small) text per presentation, summarizing the main outcomes. When multiple presentations on the same topic, unique contribution is welcome
  - Next slides describe by meeting the relevant presentations.
  - All points are covered somehow but very limited amount of presentations have been "documented" so far.



2

# Fall 2017

- "Availability and use of sensitivity coefficients data bases" (I. Hill, NEA)
  - Relevant to #3
  - short summary expected
- "'Tiny' adjustment of nuclear data and associated correlation factor" (K. Yokoyama, JAEA)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- "Criteria and practice for selection and setting up of new experiments" (E. Ivanov, IRSN)
  - Relevant to #1
  - short summary expected
- <u>"A new potential tool: the continuous energy adjustment method"</u> (M. Aufiero, UC Berkeley & G. Palmiotti, INL)
  - Relevant to #3,4
  - short summary expected
- "Discussion on how to select integral benchmarks in nuclear data adjustment" (H. Wu, CNDC)
  - Relevant to #1
  - short summary expected





- "Summary of the recommendation by the IAEA Consultants Meeting on Integral Data in Nuclear Data <u>Evaluation</u>"(K. Yokoyama, JAEA)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- "Examples of SINBAD shielding benchmarks suitable for ND validation" (I. Kodeli, JSI)
  - Relevant to #1
  - short summary expected
- <u>"Testing Calibration Effects with SG33 Benchmarks"</u> / <u>"Design of the exercises to combine use trend</u> analysis and reference group method in isotope oriented adjustment" (H. Wu, CNDC)
  - Relevant to #3,4
  - short summary expected
- "Comparing GLLS with Stochastic Sampling Based Data Assimilation" (D. Siefman, EPFL)
  - Relevant to #3
  - short summary provided
- <u>"Preliminary Adjustment Using CIELO Isotopes and Their Associated Covariance Matrices"</u> / <u>"Preliminary Feedback on Using CIELO Covariance Matrices"</u> (G. Palmiotti, INL)
  - Relevant to #2
  - short summary expected
- "TMC adjustment of nuclear data libraries using integral benchmarks" (H. Sjöstrand, UU)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- "Recent Updates to the Nuclear Data Sensitivity Tool (NDNaST)" (L. Fiorito, NEA)
  - Relevant to #5
  - short summary expected





- <u>Treating inconsistent data in Monte Carlo integral adjustment using Marginalized Likelihood</u>
   <u>Optimization</u> (Henrik SJÖSTRAND, Sweden)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- <u>Example of a Bayesian Monte Carlo (BMC) Technique applied for 235U adjustment using Criticality and Transmission Integral Benchmarks</u> (Oscar CABELLOS DE FRANCISCO, Spain)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- Continuous energy sensitivities with CONRAD/TRIPOLI-4 (Cyrille DE SAINT JEAN, France)
  - Relevant to #3
  - short summary expected
- Performance assessment of adjusted nuclear data along with their covariances on the basis of fast reactor experiments / Recent developments and enhancements of the APIA methodology (Sandro PELLONI, Switzerland)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary provided
- On Using Statistically-Uncertain Sensitivities from Monte Carlo Codes in GLLS (Daniel SIEFMAN, Switzerland)
  - Relevant to #3
  - short summary provided





- Trends on major actinides from an integral data assimulation, G. Rimpault (CEA)
  - Relevant to #2
  - short summary expected
- Another Use of Integral Experiments for Nuclear Data Validation: Bias Factor Methods, G. Palmiotti (INL)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- A new paradigm for future evaluations, M. Herman (LANL)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- <u>Treating inconsistent data in integral adjustment using Marginalized Likelihood Optimization</u>, H. Sjöstrand (UU)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- Adjusting GEF Model Parameters with Post Irradiation Examination Experiments, M. Hursin (PSI) and D. Siefman (PSI)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary provided





- <u>Development and Application of Data Assimilation Methods in Reactor Physics</u> / <u>Application of Marginal Likelihood Optimization to Haicheng's Stress Test</u>, M. Hursin (PSI)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary provided
- Nuclear data for Beff sensitivity-uncertainty analysis, I. Kodeli (JSI)
  - Relevant to #1
  - short summary expected
- <u>Update on Bias Factor Methods for Nuclear Data Validation</u>, G. Palmiotti (INL)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- <u>Summary of Derivations and Equivalence between Various Bias Factor Methods and Adjustment Methods</u>, K. Yokoyama (JAEA)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- On the combined use of differential and integral experiments in Bayesian optimization of nuclear data, E. Alhassan (PSI)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected





- WPEC SG47 SINBAD: Possible synergies with SG46, I. Kodeli (UKAEA)
  - Relevant to #1
  - short summary expected
- Constrained Bayesian Optimization of Criticality Experiments, D. Siefman (LLNL)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- <u>Using Machine Learning Algorithms for Large-scale Nuclear-data Validation</u>, D.
  Neudecker (LANL)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected



- <u>Summary, state-of-the-art review, step towards science-driven V&UQ</u>, E. Ivanov (IRSN)
  - Relevant to #4
  - short summary expected
- Pulsed Neutron Die Away Experiments at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, D. Siefman (LLNL)
  - Relevant to #1
  - short summary expected



## Conclusion

- I will circulate early next year a template document with placeholder for each contribution
- I will send it to the people who's name is made the presentations listed above
- Please send back before the Spring 2022 meeting with modifications in track mode



### Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

