

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE



JEFF Expectations from CIELO

1. JEFF Background
2. JEFF-3.2 and beyond
3. JEFF expectations from CIELO

R. Jacqmin

CEA

1. JEFF Background

- **Background:** A collaborative international “project” (like CIELO) from the beginning
 - Early 80’s: Joint Evaluated File (JEF) project started by the OECD/NEA Data Bank member countries. Focus is on fission reactor technology applications
 - Mid 90’s: Joint Evaluated Fission and Fusion (JEFF) File Project started. Combined effort of EFF, EAF fusion + JEF fission file Projects.

*The objective of the Joint Evaluated Fusion and Fission (JEFF) file Project is to develop and promote the use of high quality evaluated nuclear data sets in standard formats for a wide range of scientific and technical applications. The Project assesses the **needs** for nuclear data improvements and addresses those needs by initiating the necessary measurements, evaluation and benchmarking efforts.*

- 2012 Release of JEFF-3.1.2
- 2013 Planned release of JEFF-3.2

1. JEFF Background

■ Rationale for JEFF file updates

Guiding principles

- Meet the users' needs
- Use the best physics
- Continuously improve

1. **Improve** first, by adopting new evaluations from *within* the JEFF community, either because they yield **better or comparable performance**, as measured by consistent validation studies, or because they integrate better physics when validation data is lacking
2. **Further improve** by importing data from *outside* the JEFF community. When updates of earlier versions are available, adopt these newer evaluations, unless there are reasons *not* to do so. Choose source evaluations other than those of JEFF-3.1 if there are reasons to do so (same criteria as in 1)
3. **Further improve** by *completing*, using other data. Adopt data from other libraries that are still “missing”
Adopt recent evaluations to fill gaps and replace very old evaluations

2. JEFF-3.2 and beyond

■ JEFF-3.2

■ Preparation and general motivation

- Preserve the performance of JEFF-3.1 (for **LWRs**) and take into account validation feedback → improve MOX-fuelled systems
- Address the needs of **fast reactors and transmutation applications**
- Planned several years ago already, target release date is late **2013**

■ Improvements

- Improve FR systems predictions → improved major actinides in the fast range, in particular Pu-239, Pu-240, U-238
- Improve some structural materials, metallic coolant, absorbers
- Add more gamma-production data
- Integrate evaluations based on new high-quality measurements: Am-241
- Integrate progress in model developments and evaluation methods: TALYS, CONRAD, GEF, cov. production,...
- Increase internal consistency, in anticipation of future updates → U files
- Add covariance information
- Update the decay data and fission yields libraries
- Update the activation file (using EAF-2010)

2. JEFF-3.2 and beyond

■ Beyond JEFF-3.2

■ Aim for

- A “**qualitative step forward**”: built upon the investments made in
 - (i) nuclear models and codes (TALYS, CONRAD, GEF...)
 - (ii) many new high-quality differential measurements
 - (iii) expertise
- **Complete covariances**
- **Improved file consistency**
- **Better integration** so as to ease subsequent updates

■ Needs-driven \Rightarrow applications + lessons learned

■ ~ 2020, with intermediate step in 2017

■ Same “business model”?

3. JEFF Expectations from CIELO

■ CIELO goals

1. *Bring together experts from across the international nuclear reaction data community to **identify discrepancies (and document reasons for the discrepancies)** among existing evaluated data libraries, measured data, and model calculation interpretations (described herein), and to **make progress in reconciling these discrepancies** to create more accurate ENDF-formatted files.*
2. *Create demonstrably **more accurate evaluations***
3. *Also, help identify **future experiments** that are needed, from cross section measurements (e.g., as collated in the NEA's High Priority Request List) to more integral experiments*

3. JEFF Expectations from CIELO

■ Some lessons learned, difficulties

- Diversity of users, applications, requests for improvements → ≠ priorities
- Evaluation:
 - “Experts of good will can disagree”
 - Fragile (resonances, preservation of some information)
- “Some data is better than no data at all, unless it is misused” (covariance)
- Validation
 - Testing vs benchmarking
 - Statistical adjustment, trends
- Error compensations

3. JEFF Expectations from CIELO

■ Expectations

→ CIELO Goals #1 and #3 are most important to JEFF

- Find the reasons for the remaining significant discrepancies between files
- Take or suggest actions to reconcile the differences
- Document the decision process (why)

- Agree on best practices (how) to be adopted
- Introduce a feedback mechanism to EXFOR
- Improve the integration of the data/models/decisions (at the NEA level?) so as to ease future updates

- Recommend specific actions (measurements) for further improving the data in future updates

→ CIELO Goal #2

- Evaluators should adopt best practices in producing the evaluations
- Estimate uncertainties (covariances) and include them in the files