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MDEP Membership

- Current MDEP members: Canada, China, Finland, France, Japan, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation, South Africa, the United Kingdom, and the United States

- Terms of Reference revised to define new categories for membership for potential expansion
  - MDEP Members
  - MDEP Associate Members
  - MDEP Candidates
  - Potential expansion of membership to be discussed by Policy Group in June 2011 Meeting in Paris

- NEA chosen to ensure the MDEP Secretariat

- IAEA takes part in the generic work of MDEP
Goal: to benefit from other regulators’ experiences and to encourage harmonization in regulatory practices and requirements and in industry codes and standards

Goal: to share and cooperate on specific design evaluations and construction feedback regarding design-related issues
Design Specific Working Groups

EPR Working Group

- Members: Finland, France, Canada, China, U.K., U.S.

AP1000 Working Group

- Members: U.S., China, Canada, U.K.

Accomplishments

- Developed Common Positions (EPR Digital I&C design, AP1000 squib valves)
- Shared issues identified, questions to applicant, and drafts of evaluations
- Identified differences among various country designs
- Identified additional questions for applicants based on MDEP interactions
Vendor Inspection Cooperation WG (VICWG)

- Performed approximately 24 witnessed and 1 joint inspections
- Developed Inspection Protocol for conducting witnessed and joint inspections
- Compared quality assurance requirements used in the oversight of vendors with the goal of pursuing potential harmonization

Codes and Standards WG (CSWG)

- Completed comparison of pressure boundary for Class 1 pressure vessels, piping, pumps, and valves in coordination with standards development organizations (SDOs)
- Obtained commitments in principle from SDOs to work together to minimize further divergence of code requirements

Digital Instrumentation and Control WG (DICWG)

- Developed DI&C common positions
- Obtained agreement from IEC and IEEE to increase cooperation and consider MDEP common positions
MDEP Products

Common Positions

- **Design Specific Common Positions** agreed upon by members of a design specific working group. Each regulator responds independently to its applicant, taking the same position.

- **Generic Common Positions** developed by issue specific working groups. Constitute best practices, recommended by MDEP members to IAEA and standards organizations.

- There is no obligation for any regulatory body to follow them. If a regulatory body chooses to adopt a Generic Common Position, it is through that country’s normal processes. The responsibility for regulatory decisions continues to be with the national regulator.
MDEP Interactions

➢ Goal is to communicate MDEP activities to key stakeholders

➢ Non-MDEP Regulators
  ➢ CNRA and CSNI (via the Working Group for the Regulation of New Reactors)
  ➢ WENRA

➢ International organizations
  ➢ IAEA (attends generic MDEP meetings), GIF, EC

➢ Industry
  ➢ Vendors, licencees, standards development organizations (ASME, JSME, AFCEN, CSA, NIKIET, KEA, IEEE, IEC, etc.), WNA and other industry organizations

➢ Second MDEP Conference on New Reactor Design Activities 15 – 16 September 2011 at OECD Headquarters in Paris

➢ Public: each regulator should take the lead in its own country, and information may be found at the MDEP public website [www.oecd-nea.org/mdep](http://www.oecd-nea.org/mdep)
Summary

MDEP is Supporting Safety Design Reviews

MDEP is Supporting Harmonisation where Safety will be enhanced

MDEP is Communicating with Stakeholders about its Activities

MDEP continues to be a unique and key program for new build activities for the mid and long term