Conclusions
MDEP expected outcomes

Setting up an enhanced cooperation among regulators:

- To improve the effectiveness and efficiency of regulatory design reviews
- To raise the safety assessment quality and the safety level
- To facilitate convergence of regulatory requirements
MDEP After 4 Years of Work

- MDEP is an effective and efficient expert network from different regulators
  - Greater understanding in national requirements and practices
  - Work on Common Positions
  - Increase of Members’ efforts and involvement

- Comprehensive programmes of work

- MDEP products

- Increased interactions with industry stakeholders
1. MDEP Perspectives and Challenges

Convergence of regulatory practices and regulatory requirements

- Will be a long process
- Time for Self-Assessment of MDEP Activities and achievements
- Need for in-depth discussions within MDEP Policy Group on orientations to be given to MDEP

⇒ Harmonization / Convergence
⇒ New NPP Commissioning and Operation
2. MDEP Perspectives & Challenges

- MDEP Enlargement
  - Membership: New regulators interested
  - Design Specific WG: Regulators expressed their interest in creating new DSWG

⇒ To be discussed and anticipated by MDEP
3. MDEP Perspectives & Challenges

- **Fukushima**: Improvements to be implemented are at the same time an individual and a collective responsibility for Regulators, Vendors, SDOs, Operators, etc.

  - Programme of work to be defined as soon as first results from national and international safety assessments available
  
  - Interactions with other international organisations
  
  - High expectations from nuclear industry stakeholders
Expectations from Industry Stakeholders

To achieve its goals MDEP needs:

- The active involvement of all stakeholders: Regulators, Vendors, SDOs and Operators for an enhanced international cooperation, in MDEP and outside