## Update on NNL TSL Evaluations and Validation

M. L. Zerkle, J. C. Holmes, J. L. Wormald

WPEC SG48 May 12, 2021



The Naval Nuclear Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Fluor Marine Propulsion, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Fluor Corporation.

## 12 New and Revised TSL Evaluations for ENDF/B-VIII.1

Material	Evaluation	MAT	Туре	Temperatures	Eval. Code	Notes
UH <sub>3</sub>	H(UH <sub>3</sub> )	9	New	293.6	LEAPR	AILD, H incoherent approx.
YH <sub>2</sub>	Y(YH <sub>2</sub> )	55	Rev	293.6,400,500,600,700,800,1000,1200,1400,1600	FLASSH	AILD, Y coherent elastic
δ-ZrH <sub>x</sub>	H(ZrH <sub>x</sub> )	5	Rev	293.6,400,500,600,700,800,1000,1200	FLASSH	AIMD, H incoherent
	Zr(ZrH <sub>x</sub> )	58	Rev	293.6,400,500,600,700,800,1000,1200	FLASSH	AILD. Zr coherent elastic
ε-ZrH <sub>2</sub>	H(ZrH <sub>2</sub> )	5	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800,1000,1200	FLASSH	AIMD, H incoherent
	Zr(ZrH <sub>2</sub> )	58	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800,1000,1200	FLASSH	AILD, Zr coherent elastic,
Be <sub>2</sub> C	Be(Be <sub>2</sub> C)	28	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800,1000,1200,1600,2000	FLASSH	AILD, Be coherent elastic
	C(Be <sub>2</sub> C)	36	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800,1000,1200,1600,2000	FLASSH	AILD, C coherent elastic
<sup>7</sup> LiH	H( <sup>7</sup> LiH)	4	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800	FLASSH	AILD, mixed elastic scattering
	<sup>7</sup> Li( <sup>7</sup> LiH)	21	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800	FLASSH	AILD, mixed elastic scattering
<sup>7</sup> LiD	D( <sup>7</sup> LiD)	15	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800	FLASSH	AILD, mixed elastic scattering
	<sup>7</sup> Li( <sup>7</sup> LiD)	22	New	293.6,400,500,600,700,800	FLASSH	AILD, mixed elastic scattering

Also have <sup>7</sup>LiH and <sup>7</sup>LiD evaluations based on incoherent approximation

# $H(UH_3)$



## $\beta\text{-}UH_{3}$ stable at room temperature and above

- 8 molecules per unit cell
- 6.643 Å lattice constant

PDOS calculated using VASP/PHONON

- Spin-polarized magnetism
- GGA+U to account for 5f electrons Benchmark testing in progress





#### **HEU-COMP-INTER-003**



# Y(YH<sub>2</sub>) Revision

- Revision of NNL Y(YH<sub>2</sub>) TSL evaluation
- Same physical model for inelastic scattering
- Elastic scattering improved (relaxed incoherent approx.)
  - Bragg edges calculated using FLASSH general elastic scattering treatment based on  $YH_2$  unit cell structure
- Validation expected to be difficult since H(YH<sub>2</sub>) dominates
  - Might be able to see effect in modern neutron transmission measurements



Coherent elastic scattering cross sections for Y(YH<sub>2</sub>)



Comparison of revised (solid) and original (dotted) NNL Y(YH<sub>2</sub>) evaluation total scattering cross sections showing effect of correctly treating coherent scattering

For additional details see PHYSOR2020 paper <a href="https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202124709015">https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202124709015</a>

## Zirconium Hydride (ZrH<sub>x</sub>)



- FLASSH used to generate TSL evaluations for two phases:  $\delta$ -ZrH<sub>x</sub>,  $\epsilon$ -ZrH<sub>2</sub>
  - Includes coherent elastic from Zr for first time
- Zr(ZrH<sub>x</sub>) TSL evaluations calculated with AILD for both phases
- H(ZrH<sub>x</sub>) TSL evaluations calculated with AIMD for both phases
  - Hydrogen vibrates anharmonically even at 0 K
  - Hydrogen phonons improved agreement compared to both General Atomics (GA) model from ENDF/B-VIII.0 and AILD
- Hydrogen TSL validation performed through direct comparison with experiment for ε-phase
  - Current evaluations and ENDF/B-VIII.0
- New elastic treatment consistent with experiment
  - Bragg peaks for ZrH<sub>2</sub> model trend with behavior of measured differential cross section



For additional details see JNE paper https://doi.org/10.3390/jne2020011

## ZrH<sub>x</sub>

100

80

60

40

20

σ (b)

#### Phase Differences

- TSLs for  $\delta\text{-phase}$  and  $\epsilon\text{-phase}$  are compared
- δ-H(ZrH<sub>x</sub>) and ε-H(ZrH<sub>2</sub>) both have strong quantum oscillator effect
- $\delta\text{-phase}$  diverges from  $\epsilon\text{-phase}$  with increasing phonon order
  - Higher energy phonon spectra in  $\delta\mbox{-phase}$  relative to  $\epsilon\mbox{-phase}$
- δ-Zr(ZrH<sub>x</sub>) and ε-Zr(ZrH<sub>2</sub>) are similar

#### Cross Section Validation

- Total Scattering Cross Section generated from TSL using NDEX
  - Adaptive energy mesh captures oscillations to all phonon orders (ANE 149, 15 (2020) 107773)
- Cross section validated against available transmission data
  - Coherent elastic cross section improves agreement compared to ENDF/B-VIII.0
  - Consistent agreement in oscillations between current evaluation and ENDF/B-VIII.0
- NNL and ENDF/B:
  - Low Temperatures:  $\delta$ -ZrH<sub>x</sub> parallel in 1/v region but  $\epsilon$ -ZrH<sub>2</sub> diverge in 1/v region
  - High Temperatures: Current evaluation and ENDF/B-VIII.0 nearly the same for both phases





Be<sub>2</sub>C unit cell

(4.324 Å)

- PDOS calculated using AILD (VASP/PHONON)
  - 3x3x3 supercell, LO/TO splitting
- Heat capacity in reasonable agreement with SNL measurements, 1950s NEPA data suspect
- FLASSH used in order to capture coherent elastic scattering effects
- Be(Be<sub>2</sub>C) and C(Be<sub>2</sub>C) evaluated at 10 temperatures between 293.6 - 2000 K
- Transmission and INS measurements needed









Incident Neutron Energy (eV)

## <sup>7</sup>LiH and <sup>7</sup>LiD

- Mixed elastic scattering treatment used to capture coherent and incoherent scattering effects in <sup>7</sup>Li and D
- AILD used to calculate PDOS
- TSL calculated at 6 temperature between 293.6 800 K using FLASSH
- Coherent elastic based on crystal structure and measured RT lattice constant by Zimmerman (*Phys. Rev. B*, 5, 4704 (1972))



LiH unit cell (4.0831 Å for LiH, 4.0684 Å for LiD)









## <sup>7</sup>LiD



#### Validation of H-H<sub>2</sub>O at Elevated Temperatures

- Virtually all ICSBEP benchmarks are at room temperature & of limited value in validating H-H<sub>2</sub>O at elevated temperatures
- Power reactor experiments could be used, but data is proprietary
- Looking backwards to early nuclear age and using thermal diffusion length measurements
- Two independent methods are being used to calculate the thermal diffusion length L for each library tested for each T.
  - #1: Pulsed-neutron die-away (PNDA): φ(r, t) = φ<sub>0</sub>(r)e<sup>-αt</sup>
    Time eigenvalue α is calculated for various sample sizes. Diffusion coefficients, determined by fitting α as a function of geometric buckling, are used to calculate L.
  - #2: Static relaxation length (spatial decay) method:  $\varphi(r) = \varphi_0 \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r}$ , where  $\kappa = 1/L$ L is determined directly from the spatial eigenvalue  $\kappa$ .
- The two methods were verified to produce the same result (within ~ 0.1%).

### Compilation of Historical Thermal Neutron Diffusion Length (*L*) Measurements for Water



#### MC21 Diffusion Length Results in Empirical Ratio Space



### Proposed ICSBEP Volume IX Fundamental Physics Experiment

PNDA Experimental Description (Nassar and Murphy, *NSE*, Vol. 35, 1969)

- Pulse of 14 MeV neutrons (D+T generator) incident upon 295 K H<sub>2</sub>O in spherical Pyrex flasks of various radii, surrounded by cadmium.
- Thermal neutron count rate was recorded as a function of time.
- Once thermal and spatial equilibrium is established, the neutron flux follows the form  $\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi_0(\mathbf{r})e^{-\alpha t}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the fundamental mode time eigenvalue calculated from the recorded count rate data.
- Measured  $\alpha$  is a function of radius (geometric buckling), absorption, and integral and differential thermal scattering cross sections.
- Sensitivity to thermal scattering in H<sub>2</sub>O, as well as differential scattering cross sections, increases with decreasing radius.
- The primary source of experimental uncertainty is counting statistics. The experiment is simple to model and the  $\alpha$  results depend only the absorption and thermal scattering characteristics of H<sub>2</sub>O.
- PNDA experiments of this type can be an inexpensive alternative to critical experiments for validation of TSL data.



### MC21 PNDA Modeling Results vs. Nassar and Murphy Experiment



Uncertainty bars shown are experimental. MC21 statistical uncertainty is negligible.

## MC21 PNDA Modeling Results vs. Nassar and Murphy Experiment



#### Conclusions / Future Work

- Contributed 12 new/revised TSL evaluation to ENDF/B-VIII.1
- The thermal diffusion length *L* is an integral property of a single material's absorption and scattering cross sections (both differential and integral). No other neutron reactions or materials are involved.
- The MC21-calculated L for water is consistent with the spread of experimental data and is sufficiently sensitive to different H-H<sub>2</sub>O TSL physics models to use the method as a TSL integral performance benchmark.
- Modern high-quality diffusion experiments at elevated *T* would allow direct low-cost physics benchmarking of water TSLs when public elevated-*T* critical benchmarks are limited. NNL is working with LLNL and RPI to develop experimental PNDA capability.
- Developing ICSBEP Fundamental Physics benchmark of the Nassar and Murphy PNDA experiment to provide an example of how to evaluate these type of benchmarks.