

LA-UR-21-25334

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title:	Which nuclear data can be validated with LLNL pulsed-sphere experiments?
Author(s):	Neudecker, Denise
Intended for:	WPEC SG-47, 2021-06-16 (Paris, France) Web
Issued:	2021-06-07

Disclaimer: Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy under contract 89233218CNA000001. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness. technical correctness.





Delivering science and technology to protect our nation and promote world stability



LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT



Which nuclear data can be validated with LLNL pulsed-sphere experiments?

Denise Neudecker

WPEC SG-47, June 16, 21

Based on paper by: D. Neudecker, O. Cabellos, A. Clark, W. Haeck, R. Capote, A. Trkov, M. Rising, Annals of Nuclear Energy 159, 108345 (2021).

Big thanks also go to M. Herman!



UNCLASSIFIED



Caveat: this talk discusses what nuclear data can be validated with pulsed spheres.

- I am not evaluating a benchmark here!
- I am a nuclear data evaluator who validates nuclear data with respect to these data (partially using ML) and this talk is is from the nuclear-data validation perspective.
- The input deck library was established by S. Frankle.
- I would be excited if these experiments are evaluated as benchmarks for inclusion in SINBAD.



UNCLASSIFIED



- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



All neutrons leaked from a pulsed sphere are measured at a specific angle.

- LLNL pulsed sphere measurements for materials of H₂O to ²³⁹Pu were designed for testing of transport codes and nuclear data, e.g., C. Wong, UCRL-51144, UCRL-ID-91774, Webster, UCID-17332.
- 14-MeV neutron produced via D+T in the center of sphere.





UNCLASSIFIED



Exp. neutron-leakage spectra are given for various angles (26, 39, 117), detectors and mfps.





- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



We have 75 pulsed spheres available for 20 distinc materials, some with varying thickness.

Core	# of exp.	Validates	Core	# of exp.	Validates
Light Water	6	¹ H, ¹⁶ O	Teflon	3	¹⁹ F, ¹² C
Heavy Water	2	² H, ¹⁶ O	Magnesium	3	²⁴⁻²⁶ Mg
⁶ Li	5	⁶ Li	²⁷ AI	2	²⁷ AI
⁷ Li	5	⁷ Li	Titanium	2	⁴⁶⁻⁵⁰ Ti
⁹ Be	6	⁹ Be	Iron	6	¹² C, ^{54.56} Fe
Polyethylene	3	¹ H, ¹² C	Lead	2	²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁸ Pb
Carbon	4	¹² C	Tungsten	2 (0 ok)	$^{182-184,186}W$
¹⁴ Ni	3 (2 ok)	¹⁴ Ni	²³⁵ U	7	²³⁵ U
¹⁶ O	2 (1 ok)	¹⁶ O	²³⁸ U	5	²³⁸ U
Concrete	4	¹ H, ¹⁶ O, ²⁸ Si	²³⁹ Pu	3	²³⁹ Pu

S. Frankle provided MCNP input decks for these benchmarks, LA-UR-05-5879 (2005).

UNCLASSIFIED

LABORATORY



- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



These pulsed spheres provide additional information compared to crits: extended Einc range

D+T pulsed spheres allow us to validate nuclear data for some materials from 3-15 MeV. Crits allow us to validate nuclear data up to 5 MeV. -> We use them in large-scale validation using ML methods such as described in Neudecker et al., NDS 167, 36 (2020).



Thermal 3.0 5.0

15.0 Nuclear-data energy range simulations are sensitive to (MeV)



UNCLASSIFIED



Pulsed Sphere TOF spectra allow to validate nuclear data for light elements, structural isotopes, fuels.



Criticality Benchmarks



Managed by Triad National Security, LLC for the U.S. Departr



14-MeV LLNL pulsed spheres



One can pinpoint more explicitly the isotope of particular nuclear data that are problematic.





Pulsed Sphere TOF spectra have different sensitivity to angular distributions and fission-source term.

Criticality Benchmarks

14-MeV LLNL pulsed spheres



Relative sensitivity of average fission neutron multiplicity versus, fission neutron spectrum, fission cross section similar for criticality benchmarks.



UNCLASSIFIED



- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



Sensitivities were calculated by O. Cabellos (UPM) and A.R. Clark (LANL) with:

- Pert card capability in MCNP: in development, yields sensitivities for: MF={1,2,3,4}
- MCSEN5 tied to MCNP5.1.30:
 - R.L. Perel, J.J. Wagschal, Y. Yeivin, NSE 124, 197 (1996).
 - MCSEN yields sensitivities for: MF={1,2,3,4,6}.
- SANDY and FRENDY codes:
 - SANDY (<u>https://github.com/luca-fiorito-11/sandy</u>)
 - SANDY perturbs ENDF/ PENDF files -> NJOY processes into ACE via NJOY.
 - SANDY yields sensitivities for: MF={1,2,3,4,6}.
 - FRENDY (<u>https://rpg.jaea.go.jp/main/en/program_frendy/</u>)
 - FRENDY perturbs ACE files
 - FRENDY is able to operate on: cross-sections, nubar and CHI



See Oscar Cabellos talk at last WPEC SG-47 meeting.

UNCLASSIFIED



- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



We see issues in neutron spectra containing ¹⁶O.





Elastic and inelastic discrete levels matter for simulating spheres containing ¹⁶O.





Elastic and inelastic discrete levels matter for simulating spheres containing ¹⁶O.



We query slightly different incident-energy ranges when looking at different angles.



The probability of inelastic scatters and multiple scatters depends on mfp ... AND



Managed by Triad National Security, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy's NNSA

NATIONAL Research Administration

The probability of inelastic scatters and multiple scatters depends on mfp AND LEVEL SCHEME!

Discrete Levels of ¹⁶O from RIPL-3.0

			_		_	_		_						
NL	EL[MeV]	S/P	F	T1/2[s]	Ng	s	unc Nf		Eg[MeV]	s-info Pg	nd	m Pe	р	mode Icc
1 2	0.000000 6.049400	0.0	1 1	-1.000E+00 6.700E-11	0 1	u u				0+ 0+	0 0			
3	6.129890	3.0 -	-1	1.840E - 11	1	11	1		6.0482	1.000E+0	00	1.000E	5+00	0.000E+00
4	6 017100	2.0	1	4 7000 15	-	ŭ	1		6.1286	1.000E+0	00	1.000E	C+00	0.000E+00
4	6.91/100	2.0	Т	4./UUE-15	3	u	3		0.7872	2+ 7.997E-0	05	7.997E	E-05	0.000E+00
							2 1		0.8677 6.9155	2.699E-0 9.997E-0	04 01	2.699E 9.997E	E-04 E-01	0.000E+00 0.000E+00
										¹⁶ C), 0.7 n	nfp, Pilot-B,	39	
C	Only inela	stic s	SCa	atters ind	uce	ed			0.2			14.55 MeV 13.84 MeV	/ — 6	.434 MeV — 4.80 MeV — 4.30 MeV —
k	y D+T so	urce	b	ecause				(0.1	\wedge		10.00 MeV 8.187 MeV	/	3.00 MeV
S	cattering	from	Ν	1T=52 lea	ave	s		%/%)	0.05					

not enough energy.



... level scheme! Different level scheme of ¹²C leads to more multiple scatters.

Discrete Levels of ¹²C from RIPL-3.0

NL	EL[MeV]	S/P	F	T1/2[s]	Ng	S	unc Nf	Eg[MeV]	s-info Pg	nd	m Pe	p	mode Icc
1	0.000000	0.0	1	-1.000E+00	0	u			0+	0			
2	4.439820	2.0	1	4.224E-14	1	u			2+	1	= 1	.0000E+	-02 %IT
							1	4.4389	9.987E-	01	1.00	00E+00	1.322E-03
3	7.654070	0.0	1	4.906E-17	1	u			0+	2	= 1	.0000E+	-02 %A
							2	3.2138	4.156E-	04	4.16	50E - 04	8.760E-04
4	9.641000	3.0	-1	9.918E-21	1	u			3-	2	= 1	L.0000E+	-02 %A
							1	9.6370	4.100E-	07	4.10	00E-07	0.000E+00





- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



Iron sphere allow us to study in detail MF={3,6}, MT=91. This is typical for heavier elements.





UNCLASSIFIED



As iron sphere are more sensitive to MF={3,6}, MT=91 -> more multiple scatters.



Q-value MT=91: 2.33 MeV



- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere
- What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



Pu sphere allow us to study in detail fission source term and MT=91.



Pu 0.7 mpf sphere allow us to study in detail fission source term and MT=91 from 13-15 MeV.

Pu, 0.7 mfp, NE213-A, 117



Pu sphere allow us to study in detail fission source term and MT=91.



Relative sensitivity of average fission neutron multiplicity versus, fission neutron spectrum, fission cross section similar for criticality benchmarks.

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY
FST 1943

Impact of angular distributions and fission-neutron spectrum for TOF spectra different from criticality benchmarks.





UNCLASSIFIED

- Short reminder: What are LLNL pulsed spheres?
- What sphere materials are we using for validation?
- Why do we care about these experiments?
- How do we get sensitivities?
- What nuclear data can we validate?
 - ¹⁶O and ¹²C spheres
 - Fe sphere
 - Pu sphere

• What nuclear data are indicated as problematic for ENDF/B-VIII.0?



UNCLASSIFIED



These experiments allow us to find potential issues in nuclear data!

Table 6: Those nuclear data in ENDF/B-VIII.0 that are recommended to be further investigated are identified by their MF and MT <u>numbers.</u>

Isotope	MF, MT of data recommended to be further investigated
⁶ Li	$MF=3, MT=\{4, 51-90\}; MF=4, MT=\{2, 51\};$
⁷ Li	MF=4, MT=2;
$^{12}\mathrm{C}$	$MF=3, MT=\{4, > 50\}; MF=4, MT=\{2, 51\}; MF=6, MT=91;$
$^{16}\mathrm{O}$	$MF=3, MT=\{2,4\}; MF=4, MT=\{2,51\}; MF=6, MT=91;$
$^{19}\mathrm{F}$	$MF=3, MT=\{2, 4\};$
^{24}Mg	MF=3, MT=2; MF=4, MT=2; MF=6, MT=91;
^{25}Mg	MF=3, MT=91; MF=6, MT=91;
^{26}Mg	MF=3, MT=2; MF=4, MT=2; MF=6, MT=91;
^{27}Al	MF=3, MT=4; MF=4, MT=2; MF=6, MT=91;
$^{48}\mathrm{Ti}$	MF=3, MT=91; MF=4, MT=2; MF=6, MT=91;
56 Fe	$MF=3, MT=\{2, 4, 91\}; MF=4, MT=2; MF=6, MT=91;$
²⁰⁸ Pb	$MF=3, MT=\{4, 91\}; MF=6, MT=\{16, 91\};$
$^{235}\mathrm{U}$	$MF=1, MT=452; MF=3, MT=\{2, 4, 91\}; MF=4, MT=2; MF=5, MT=18; MF=6, MT=91;$
$^{238}\mathrm{U}$	$MF=3, MT=\{4, 91\}; MF=4, MT=2; MF=5, MT=18; MF=6, MT=91;$
²³⁹ Pu	$MF=1, MT=452; MF=3, MT=\{18, 91\}; MF=5, MT=18; MF=6, MT=91$

Caveat: D+T pulsed spheres are NOT benchmarks yet -> evaluating them as benchmarks for possible inclusion in SINBAD would be great!



Conclusions

- LLNL pulsed sphere are a very valuable experiment series to validate scattering and fission nuclear data.
- It would be great if they could be evaluated as benchmarks and make it into SINBAD!
- We see potential issues in ENDF/B-VIII.0 ⁶Li, ¹²C, ¹⁶O, ²⁴⁻²⁶Mg, ²⁷AI, ⁴⁸Ti, ⁵⁶Fe, and ²⁰⁸Pb nuclear data. Good agreement is found with ^{1,2}H, ⁷Li, ⁹Be, ¹⁴N, ^{235,238}U, and ²³⁹Pu nuclear data.

Thank you for your attention!



UNCLASSIFIED



Acknowledgements



Research reported in this publication was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy LDRD program at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Advanced Simulation and Computing (ASC) program at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

This work was supported in part by the DOE Nuclear Criticality Safety Program, funded and managed by the NNSA for the Department of Energy.



UNCLASSIFIED

