

Low Neutron Energy Cross Sections of the Hafnium Isotopes

G. Noguère, A. Courcelle, J.M. Palau

CEA/DEN Cadarache, France

P. Siegler

JRC/IRMM Geel, Belgium



JEFF Meeting, 2-4 may 2005, NEA Headquarters

Context of this Evaluation

- Natural hafnium is composed of six isotopes
- ¹⁷⁴Hf (0.16%), ¹⁷⁶Hf (5.26%), ¹⁷⁷Hf (18.6%), ¹⁷⁸Hf (27.28%), ¹⁷⁹Hf (13.62%), ¹⁸⁰Hf (35.08%)
- Thermal reactor engineering \Rightarrow BWR, naval propulsion, RJH, EPR, ...
- Neutron absorbing material \Rightarrow Capture Resonance Integral $I_0 \approx 2000$ barns
- Control rods \Rightarrow regulate the fission process





• Longstanding **reactivity worth underestimation** in specific CEA integral measurements in the EOLE (LWR square lattice) and AZUR (fuel plates of naval reactors) zero-power reactors located at the Cadarache



Interpreted as an overestimation of the natural Hf capture cross section

- JENDL-3.3 was the candidate for JEFF-3.1
 - G However, capture resonance integral is still too high for reactor applications
- New evaluation of the Resolved Resonance Range
 - New resonance parameters have been extracted by Trbovich from TOF measurements carried out at the RPI facility (E < 200 eV)</p>



Evaluation proposed for JEFF-3.1



CADARACHE

Thermal Energy range

Isotopic evaluation of the **negative resonances** based on the experimental data available in EXFOR \Rightarrow sequential Reich-Moore analysis of the (n, γ) and (n,tot) cross sections with the **SAMMY** code

Final comparison with the capture and total cross sections of the natural Hf



 \Rightarrow Significant discrepancies between experimental data

⇒ New accurate Time-Of-Flight measurements are needed

Epithermal Energy range

| | | | 0.14% | 5.26% | 18.60% | 27.28% | 13.62% | 35.08% |
|--------------------|---------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Authors | Year | Ref. | ¹⁷⁴ Hf | ¹⁷⁶ Hf | $177 \mathrm{Hf}$ | ¹⁷⁸ Hf | ¹⁷⁹ Hf | ¹⁸⁰ Hf |
| Bollinger | 1953 | [5] | | | 6 resonances. [1-14] | 1 resonance (7.6 eV) | 1 resonance (5.6 eV) | |
| Igo | 1955 | [7] | | | 2 resonances (1.1 eV) (2.4 eV) | | | |
| Harvey | 1955 | [8] | 1 resonance (30.5 eV) | | 28 resonances [5.9-105] | 1 resonance (7.8 eV) | 26 resonances [5.7-110] | 1 resonance (73.9 eV) |
| Levin | 1956 | [9] | | | 2 resonances (2.4 eV) (6.5 eV) | | | |
| Ceulemans | 1965 | [10] | | | 2 resonances (1.1 eV) (2.4 eV) | | | |
| Fuketa | 1965-66 | [11, 12] | 10 resonances [4.2-211] | 22 resonances [48.3-1068] | 107 resonances [1.1-1019] | 18 resonances [7.7-1163] | 75 resonances [5.6-1050] | 9 resonances [72.5-914] |
| Moxon ^a | 1974 | [13] | 9 resonances [13.4-211] | 22 resonances [7.8-1067] | 26 resonances [1.1-202] | 25 resonances [7.7-2090] | 43 resonances [17.6-189] | 40 resonances [72.3-11350] |
| Liou | 1975 | [14] | | | 176 resonances [3-700] | 12 resonances [3-720] | | |
| Rohr | 1976 | [15] | | | 98 resonances [10-300] | | | |
| Beer | 1982-84 | [16, 17] | | 106 resonances [2708-5229] | 17 resonances [2653-2767] | 138 resonances [2659-8924] | 41 resonances [2660-3069] | 135 resonances [2700-9865] |
| Trbovich | 2004 | [2] | 9 resonances [4.2-153.5] | 6 resonances [7.8-177.1] | 86 resonances [1.1-199.5] | 3 resonances [7.7-164.7] | 41 resonances [5.7-198.0] | 2 resonances (72.46 eV) (171.7 eV) |



1965 Fuketa (E < 240 eV)

- ORNL Fast Chopper
- Transmission measurements of isotopically enriched samples
- Area Analysis (E_o and Γ_n)
- \Rightarrow First Hf resonance spectroscopy over a wide energy range
- \Rightarrow Significant number of resonances are missed (low energy resolution)

1974 Moxon (E < 30 eV)

- Harwell 45 MeV linac
- Capture and transmission measurements of natural Hf and isotopically enriched samples
- Multi-Level formalism (E_0 , Γ_γ , Γ_n and spin assignment for ^{177,179}Hf)
- \Rightarrow Discovery of the existence of the ^{178,176}Hf doublet near 7.8 eV
- \Rightarrow Major influence on the cross section of ¹⁷⁶Hf in the sub-thermal energy range

2004 Trbovich (E < 200 eV)

- RPI linac facility
- Capture and transmission measurements of natural Hf and isotopically enriched samples
- Reich-Moore analysis with the SAMMY code (E_o, Γ_γ and Γ_n)
- \Rightarrow Confirms the existence of the doublet near 7.8 eV
- \Rightarrow Gives a consistent set of resonance parameters



| Isotope | Ref. | E_o | $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\gamma} + \Gamma_n$ | Γ_{γ} | Γ_n | Γ_n/Γ | _ | |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------|
| | | (eV) | (meV) | (meV) | (meV) | $(\times 10^{-2})$ | | Noutron rediction widths |
| ¹⁷⁷ Hf | [5] | 1.08 ± 0.02 | 45 ± 10 | | | | | Neutron radiation widths |
| $(J^{\pi} = 3^+)$ | [6] | 1.095 ± 0.005 | 67.77 ± 1.0 | 66 ± 1 | 1.77 ± 0.02 | | | reported by Moxon [13] are |
| | [7] | 1.100 ± 0.005 | 69 ± 2 | 67 ± 2 | 2.10 ± 0.05 | 3.04 ± 0.11 | | |
| | [10] | 1.1 | | | | 3.66 ± 0.40 | | confirmed by Irbovich [2] |
| | [11] | 1.099 ± 0.001 | 68.3 ± 1.0 | 66.4 ± 1.0 | $1.92{\pm}0.03$ | 2.81 ± 0.06 | | |
| | [13] | 1.0964 ± 0.0015 | 67.96 ± 2.86 | 65.64 ± 2.86 | 2.32 ± 0.013 | 3.41 ± 0.14 | | |
| | [2] | 1.1001 ± 0.0001 | 67.45 ± 0.08 | 65.23 ± 0.08 | $2.225 {\pm} 0.003$ | 3.299 ± 0.006 | | |
| ¹⁷⁷ Hf | [5] | 2.34 ± 0.05 | <100 | | | | | 1γ lowered by 1.7 % |
| $(J^{\pi} = 4^+)$ | [7] | 2.39 ± 0.01 | 69 ± 1 | 60 ± 1 | 9.3 ± 0.2 | 13.5 ± 0.3 | | \rightarrow decrease of the Effective |
| | [9] | 2.38 | 70 ± 7 | 63 ± 7 | 7.0 ± 0.5 | 10.0 ± 1.2 | | |
| | [10] | 2.4 | | | | 12.5 ± 0.8 | | Capture Resonance Integral |
| | [11] | 2.384 ± 0.002 | 70.2 ± 1.5 | 61.3 ± 1.5 | 8.9 ± 0.2 | 12.7 ± 0.4 | | 1 0 |
| | [13] | $2.3837 {\pm} 0.0002$ | 69.81 ± 0.74 | 61.74 ± 0.74 | $8.068 {\pm} 0.068$ | 11.54 ± 0.16 | Г | |
| | [2] | $2.3868 {\pm} 0.0001$ | 68.7 ± 0.2 | 60.7 ± 0.2 | 8.04 ± 0.02 | 11.70 ± 4.48 | | Γγ lowered by 8.8 % |
| ¹⁷⁸ Hf | [5] | 7.6 ± 0.1 | < 260 | | | | | \rightarrow decrease of the Effection |
| $(J^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2}^+)$ | [8] | 7.8 ± 0.1 | | | 49 ± 3 | | | \Rightarrow decrease of the Effective |
| 2 / | [11] | 7.78 ± 0.02 | | | 51 ± 3 | | | Capture Resonance Integral |
| | [13] | 7.7718 ± 0.0017 | 109.80 ± 2.14 | 57.67 ± 1.60 | 52.13 ± 1.42 | 47.47 ± 1.59 | L | |
| | [14] | 7.770 ± 0.027 | | | 49 ± 7 | | | |
| | [2] | 7.7865 ± 0.0001 | 106.8 ± 0.2 | 53.0 ± 0.2 | 53.83 ± 0.08 | 50.40 ± 0.12 |] | |
| ¹⁷⁶ Hf | [13] | 7.886 ± 0.010 | 61.7 ± 13.2 | 57 ± 12 | ~ 4.71 | ~ 7.63 | | $\Gamma n \times 2.1 \Rightarrow$ increase of the |
| $(J^{\pi}=\tfrac{1}{2}^+)$ | [2] | $7.8891{\pm}0.0003$ | $71.9{\pm}0.6$ | $61.8{\pm}0.6$ | $10.15 {\pm} 0.04$ | $14.11{\pm}0.13$ | | Capture Resonance Integral |

177 UF recommence at 1.1 aV and 2.3 aV 176.178 LIF doublet near 7.9 aV

 \checkmark Resonance parameters agree with the integral trends.

 \checkmark Uncertainties quoted by Trbovich are underestimated \Rightarrow systematic uncertainties not included



Trbovich et al. (below 200 eV)

Epithermal Energy range



✓ Natural Hf capture cross section dominated by the ¹⁷⁷Hf levels
 ✓ E = 7.8 eV ⇒ significant contribution of the ¹⁷⁸Hf resonance
 ✓ E < 100 eV ⇒ non negligible contributions of the ¹⁷⁹Hf resonances

Unresolved-Resonance Range and Continuum

Transmission of thin natural Hf samples measured at the **GELINA** facility with the TOF technique (T=77 K, T=300 K)*



^{*} P. Siegler et al., Int. Conf. ND2001

Natural Hf Capture Resonance Integral



Trbovich (RPI 2004):

 \Rightarrow compensation between the contributions of the ¹⁷⁷Hf , ¹⁷⁶Hf and ¹⁷⁸Hf

 \Rightarrow I_0 (JEFF-3.1) \approx I_0 (ENDF\B-VI.8) (Hfnat)

CADARACHE

Integral Quantities

| libraries | | ¹⁷⁴ Hf | 176 Hf | ¹⁷⁷ Hf | 178 Hf | 179 Hf | 180 Hf | nat Hf |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | (0.16%) | (5.26%) | (18.6%) | (27.28%) | (13.62%) | (35.08%) | |
| BNL | σ_{th} | 549±7 | 23.5±3.1 | 375±10 | 84 <u>+</u> 4 | 41±3 | 13.04 ±0.07 | 104.1±0.5 |
| | Io | 436±35 | 880±40 | 7173±200 | 1950±120 | 630±30 | 35±1 | 1992±50 |
| ENDF\B-VI | σ_{th} | 577.2 | 13.8 | 373.6 | 84.0 | 43.6 | 13.0 | 104.5 |
| | Io | 355.7 | 400.8 | 7212.4 | 1914.2 | 549.5 | 34.4 | 1972.3 |
| JENDL-3.3 | σ_{th} | 561.5 | 23.5 | 373.6 | 84.0 | 42.8 | 12.99 | 104.9 |
| | Io | 363.5 | 893.2 | 7210.0 | 1914.1 | 522.6 | 34.0 | 1993.9 |
| JEF-2.2 | σ_{th} | 403.4 | 14.0 | 376.4 | 78.4 | 39.1 | 13.1 | 102.7 |
| | Io | 321.9 | 614.1 | 7232.8 | 1922.5 | 543.9 | 35.6 | 1989.1 |
| JEFF-3.0 | σ_{th} | 561.5 | 23.5 | 373.6 | 84.0 | 42.8 | 13.0 | 104.9 |
| | Io | 363.5 | 893.2 | 7210.0 | 1914.2 | 522.6 | 34.0 | 1993.9 |
| JEFF-3.1 | $\sigma_{ m th}$ | 549.5 | 21.3 | 371.8 | 83.9 | 40.8 | 13.1 | 104.2 |
| | I | 442.3 | 694.3 | 7211.1 | 1871.5 | 509.2 | 29.7 | 1968.7 |

✓New trend for the capture cross sections and the Capture Resonance Integrale of ¹⁷⁶Hf

✓ No significant modifications for ¹⁷⁷Hf

✓ Decrease of the ¹⁷⁸Hf, ¹⁷⁹Hf and ¹⁸⁰Hf Capture Resonance Integral



Preliminary Validation with TRIPOLI calculations

Simulation of two reactivity worth measurements carried out in the EOLE* (LWR square lattice) and AZUR* (fuel plates of naval reactors) zero-power reactors of the CEA-Cadarache.

CAMELEON experiment \Rightarrow LWR square lattice containing 25 Hf rods.

| | EOLE CAMELEON experiments | AZUR |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| | (Hf rw. ~ 9000 pcm) | (Hf rw. ~ 7000 pcm) |
| Hf JEF-2.2 | -352±30 pcm | -343±17 pcm |
| Hf JENDL-3.3 | -398±33 pcm | |
| Hf JEFF-3.1 | -333±31 pcm | -300±17 pcm |

JEFF-3.1 still underestimates by about ~4% the natural Hf reactivity worth

^{*}O. Litaize and J.M. Palau, CEA-Cadarache

Conclusions and Perspectives

• This evaluation provides a body of consistent resonance parameters up to 200 eV

However: underestimation of the reactivity worth in specific integral measurements are still not solved (~4 %)

• Hafnium isotopes eval. remains a compilation of several source of information:

Accuracy of the **effective potential scattering length** (R')?

Consistency of the **average resonance parameters** (S_o , $<\Gamma_{\gamma}>$ and D_o)?

Determination of the upper energy limit of the Resolved Resonance Range?

• For the next release:

Experimental data in the Resolved Resonance Range would be valuable

New modeling of the Unresolved Resonance Range are needed (Cf. recent experimental data from FZK*)

Evaluation of the fast range performed by CEA/BRC to be considered