JEF/ DOC- 800

(E Fort)

JEF2 validation supplement to JEF/DOC-759

Performances in the fast range (UR--> 10 MeV)

REQUIREMENTS:

300 pcm

Keff,K+

500 pcm

 B^{2} m

1.5 %

spectral indices, especially F9/F5, F8/F5, C8/F5

3%

 β_{eff} (criticals)

Na voiding

Global performances for all types of fuel (metallic, oxyde) given in the table

. Bias values and their standard deviations (indications on the dispersion in the predictions) to be considered together for a valid judgment



JECCOLIB2 (JEF 2)

AMERE

B10/F25 (9)		F42/F25 (7)	_		F41/F25 (16)	(16)	F40/F25 (7)	(7)	C28/F25 (35)	(32)	F28/F25 (45)	(45)	F49/F25 (33)		(34)	K ⁺ (19)	(17)	B _m (105)			Keff (32)	Critical core (29)					JEC
2.3%	2.3%	8%	8%		5%	5%	8.6% .6%	8.6%) 1.6% 1.6%	2.2%) 3.5% 3.5%	3.7%	3.270		2.6%	2150 2050 pcm	2200 pc	920	000	1200 pcm	1635 1450 pcm	1460 pcm	GEATUTION	Journal of	Standard	before	JECCOLIBZ (JEI Z)
.3% -2%	-2%		3 /0/	-5 7%	5% -1.2% -1.	-1.4%	-4%			1.4%	-0.8% ± 2	0 00/	10/	$6 \mid 1\% \pm 1.8 1\% \pm 1.8$	1.1%	144 ± 100			$8/0 \text{ ncm} 40 + 640 = 212 \pm 640 130$	-210 pcm	252 ± 560	323 pcm		Dias	Ö	before adjustment	
	0			1.3%	-1.2% 1.2%	1.2%		1.0%	1 60/	0.5% 0.5%		$0.8\% \pm 0.7 0.8\%$ 0.8%	0.8%	1.8 0.45% 0.45%	0.5%	0		240 pcm	40 130 pcm	pcm	CIII	20 00 ncm 98 ncm	100 pcm	deviation	Standard	dici	after
	0.8% -1%	-1.3%	1.2% -1.5%			1 7% 0.13%			,	$1.\% \pm 1.8$		$-1.\% \pm 2.4$		-0.2/0 ± 1:0	_0.2% + 1.8	0.3%	210 ± 740	123 pcm	-99 ± 000	00 500 -200 Perr	-+	70 ± 170	83 pcm		Bias	\$ 5	after adjustment
	-1%		-1%	10/		0.5%		-0.8%		$1.2\% \pm 1.9$		$-1.5\% \pm 2.5$)		$-0.25\% \pm 1.9$		-92 ± 530			<u> -99 + 500</u>		32 ± 220					

MAJOR ACTINIDES

?	OAK-RIDGE ENDF B project?		RR + UR $(\sigma_{n_{r\gamma}}) \downarrow 10\%$	235U
		Local corrections	ν _p * 1.2 % (E<6 MeV), 0.7% (E>6 MeV), subthreshold fission? (σ _{n,n'}) * 5 % (E<2 MeV),	238U
	Bucarest .? Bologne : continuum	Complete reevaluation	Insufficient integral information $(\sigma_{n,n}) \downarrow 20\%$	²⁴² Pu
End 1999 ?	planned in Cadarache	partial reevaluation	unresolved range, $(\sigma_{n,n})\downarrow$, fission in 1stplateau (5%)	²⁴¹ Pu
End 1999	Cadarache. RR + U.R Bucarest . Bologne : continuum	Complete reevaluation	$V_{p\uparrow}$, $G_{n,\downarrow}$, $G_{n,f\downarrow}$ ($E < 2 \text{ MeV}$)	²⁴⁰ Pu
June 1999	Cadarache	partial reevaluation	unresolved range	²³⁹ Pu
Availability for JEFF3 starter file	Work in progress?	Work to be done	major observations	Nucleus
		MIAJOK ACITINIDES		

Coolants, Absorbers, scatterers

10В	12C	16O	23 Na	Nucleus
$\sigma_{n,\alpha}$) 7 2 or 3 % is it significant?		(σ _{n,ν}) * 10 % E > 1 Mev) σ _{n,α}) * 20 % E > 3 Mev	(σ _{n,n'}) ♣30 % (E<2 MeV), (σ _{n,n}) ≠ 30 % (E<2 MeV)	major observations
Keep attention on work performed elsewhere (ENDF project)		Partial Correction	Complete reevaluation	Work to be done
		ASK ENDFproject and G. Hale (LANL)	+CAD +CAD Proposal for JEFF3	Work in progres?
			(TRIESTE) E<2Mev + JEF2 renormalised E>2Mev 1999	Avaibality for JEFF3

Structurals Materials

52Cr (c	58Ni Si	56Fe I	Nucleus
Integral information sufficient? $(\sigma_{n,n'}) \stackrel{\bullet}{\searrow} 20 \%$ (25 KeV <e<1.3 mev),<="" td=""><td>Integral information sufficient? $(\sigma_{n,j}) \downarrow 10\%$</td><td>Additional Integral information required Total? $(\sigma_{n,n'}) \stackrel{\blacktriangleleft}{}_{\lambda} 20 \%$ (E<2 MeV), $(\sigma_{n,n'}) \stackrel{1st}{}_{\tau} resonance \stackrel{54}{}_{\tau} Fe?$</td><td>major observations</td></e<1.3>	Integral information sufficient? $(\sigma_{n,j}) \downarrow 10\%$	Additional Integral information required Total? $(\sigma_{n,n'}) \stackrel{\blacktriangleleft}{}_{\lambda} 20 \%$ (E<2 MeV), $(\sigma_{n,n'}) \stackrel{1st}{}_{\tau} resonance \stackrel{54}{}_{\tau} Fe?$	major observations
Complete reevaluation?	Complete reevaluation	Probably complete reevaluation Solve ≠ OAK-RIDGE GEEL σ _t	Work to be done
R.R réevaluated in CAD	;?	Experimental in GEEL Evaluation: Bologne (TRKOV)	Work in progress?
		1999?	Availability for JEFF3



CONCLUSION

The JEF2.2 doesn't allow fast reactor calculations with the required accuracy

Nevertheless the data are of sufficient quality for a statistical adjustment procedure to be an efficient tool for improvement ,provided the present data of Na-23 are replaced by the proposed JEFF3 starter file data .