

JUNE 1995

ECN-I--95-024 DRAFT

The GODIVA benchmark

Results of MCNP4A calculations

A. Hogenbirk

14060115

Abstract

In this report the results are presented of GODIVA benchmark calculations. The calculations were performed with MCNP4A. JEF-2.2 based cross section data were taken from the EJ2-MCNP library.

Tabulated groupwise reaction rates, leakage data, central fission ratios and central activation ratios are given.

An indication is found for a too high value of $\sigma_{(n,f)}$ for ^{235}U .

Keywords

reactivity calculations

Monte Carlo calculations

MCNP4A

JEF-2.2

GODIVA

^{235}U

^{238}U

14060116

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	5
2. METHOD	6
2.1 Geometry	6
2.2 Cross sections	6
3. RESULTS	7
4. DISCUSSION	9
5. CONCLUSIONS	10
REFERENCES	11

14060117

14060118

1. INTRODUCTION

In this report the results are presented of the GODIVA benchmark calculation, as defined in the CSEWG Benchmark Book [1]. The GODIVA benchmark consists of a homogeneous, bare (critical) sphere of enriched uranium metal. The benchmark results can be used to validate ^{235}U and ^{238}U cross-section data in the fission source energy range.

The calculations were performed with the Monte Carlo code MCNP4A. JEF-2.2 based cross section data were taken from the EJ2-MCNP library [2], as processed at ECN Petten.

In chapter 2 a short description is given of the method used in the calculations.

Tabulated groupwise reaction rates and spectral indices are given in chapter 3.

A concise discussion of the results is given in chapter 4.

Finally, in chapter 5 the conclusions resulting from this work are given.

14060119

2. METHOD

2.1 Geometry

The GODIVA benchmark consists of a homogeneous, bare (critical) sphere of enriched uranium metal. The critical radius amounts to 8.741 cm. The fast spectrum prevailing in the sphere can be used to validate ^{235}U and ^{238}U cross-section data in the fission source energy range.

The simple geometrical model for this benchmark calculation was taken from [1]. The composition used in the calculations is given in table 2.1.

2.2 Cross sections

JEF-2.2 based cross section data for all isotopes were taken from the EJ2-MCNP library [2], processed at ECN Petten. For the determination of measured activation ratios data for ^{63}Cu are required, which are not present on the JEF-2.2 evaluation. Therefore, these data were taken from the EFF-2.4 evaluation.

Table 2.1 *GODIVA benchmark. Composition of the homogeneous fuel sphere as used in the calculations.*

isotope	density ($\cdot 10^{24}$ at cm^{-3})
^{235}U	0.04500
^{238}U	0.002498
^{234}U	0.000492

14060120

3. RESULTS

Required response parameters are given in [3]. They include k_{eff} , reaction rates, leakage, spectral indices and activation ratios. Reaction rates and cross sections were calculated in the 4-group structure as given in [3] (see table 3.1).

In this chapter tables with calculated results are presented. Values are given with relative percentage errors. Reaction rates (normalised per absorbed neutron) are given in table 3.2. The leakage spectrum (normalised per fission neutron) is given in table 3.3. Experimental and calculated values of central fission ratios are given in table 3.4. In table 3.5 the experimental and calculated values of central activation ratios are given. The experimental data were taken from [1].

Due to the small size of the fuel sphere, the central region in which the magnitude of the neutron flux is constant, is only small. In this study central fission rates and central activation ratios were determined in a sphere with a radius of 0.5 cm.

Table 3.1 Energy group structure used for the representation of the calculated results.

group	$E_{g,low}$ (eV)	$E_{g,high}$ (eV)
0	1.0000E+7	2.0000E+7
1	2.2313E+6	1.0000E+7
2	497.87E+3	2.2313E+6
3	1.0000E-5	497.87E+3

Table 3.2 GODIVA benchmark. Group reaction rates in fuel sphere. The data are normalised per absorbed neutron.

$k_{eff} = 0.9947 \pm 0.03\%$					
group	nu*fission	fission	absorption	(n,2n)	capture
²³⁵ U					
total	2.29322E+00 ± 0.03	8.84596E-01 ± 0.03	9.84352E-01 ± 0.03	5.90251E-03 ± 0.33	9.97517E-02 ± 0.05
0	5.40291E-03 ± 1.36	1.33262E-03 ± 1.36	1.33404E-03 ± 1.36	5.80926E-04 ± 1.37	1.41531E-06 ± 1.37
1	5.55163E-01 ± 0.08	1.93901E-01 ± 0.08	1.97490E-01 ± 0.08	5.32158E-03 ± 0.33	3.58883E-03 ± 0.10
2	1.03721E+00 ± 0.05	4.06760E-01 ± 0.05	4.42586E-01 ± 0.05		3.58257E-02 ± 0.06
3	6.95445E-01 ± 0.08	2.82605E-01 ± 0.08	3.42941E-01 ± 0.08		6.03360E-02 ± 0.09
²³⁸ U					
total	2.25036E-02 ± 0.06	8.05075E-03 ± 0.06	1.16794E-02 ± 0.04	3.42308E-04 ± 0.45	3.62866E-03 ± 0.05
0	1.69794E-04 ± 1.36	4.18589E-05 ± 1.36	4.19072E-05 ± 1.36	5.17707E-05 ± 1.37	4.83351E-08 ± 1.36
1	1.45928E-02 ± 0.09	5.04838E-03 ± 0.08	5.17640E-03 ± 0.08	2.90538E-04 ± 0.47	1.28028E-04 ± 0.11
2	7.73606E-03 ± 0.10	2.95859E-03 ± 0.10	4.76851E-03 ± 0.07		1.80992E-03 ± 0.06
3	4.88591E-06 ± 0.16	1.94539E-06 ± 0.16	1.69260E-03 ± 0.09		1.69066E-03 ± 0.09
²³⁴ U					
total	2.02189E-02 ± 0.03	7.67108E-03 ± 0.03	9.40032E-03 ± 0.03	8.96474E-06 ± 0.62	1.72927E-03 ± 0.04
0	6.38091E-05 ± 1.36	1.64164E-05 ± 1.36	1.65212E-05 ± 1.36	2.79125E-06 ± 1.38	1.04783E-07 ± 1.36
1	7.59448E-03 ± 0.08	2.65395E-03 ± 0.08	2.73616E-03 ± 0.08	6.17349E-06 ± 0.64	8.22183E-05 ± 0.09
2	1.16232E-02 ± 0.05	4.61057E-03 ± 0.05	5.53630E-03 ± 0.05		9.25736E-04 ± 0.06
3	9.37404E-04 ± 0.11	3.90121E-04 ± 0.11	1.11134E-03 ± 0.08		7.21248E-04 ± 0.08

Table 3.3 *GODIVA benchmark. Leakage spectrum at fuel sphere boundary. The data are normalised per fission neutron.*

$k_{eff} = 0.9947 \pm 0.03\%$	
group	leakage
total	$5.74462\text{E}-01 \pm 0.03$
0	$7.20509\text{E}-04 \pm 1.57$
1	$1.43617\text{E}-01 \pm 0.10$
2	$2.87794\text{E}-01 \pm 0.06$
3	$1.42331\text{E}-01 \pm 0.08$

Table 3.4 *GODIVA benchmark. Central fission ratios. Experimental data were taken from [1].*

$k_{eff} = 0.9947 \pm 0.03\%$			
index	exp. value	calc. value	C/E
$\sigma_f(^{238}\text{U})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$1.647\text{E}-01 \pm 1.09$	$1.595\text{E}-01 \pm 1.37$	$9.682\text{E}-01 \pm 1.75$
$\sigma_f(^{233}\text{U})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$1.590\text{E}+00 \pm 1.89$	$1.538\text{E}+00 \pm 0.98$	$9.672\text{E}-01 \pm 2.13$
$\sigma_f(^{237}\text{Np})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$8.370\text{E}-01 \pm 1.55$	$8.097\text{E}-01 \pm 1.11$	$9.674\text{E}-01 \pm 1.91$
$\sigma_f(^{239}\text{Pu})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$1.402\text{E}+00 \pm 1.78$	$1.393\text{E}+00 \pm 1.00$	$9.934\text{E}-01 \pm 2.04$

Table 3.5 *GODIVA benchmark. Central activation ratios. Experimental data were taken from [1].*

$k_{eff} = 0.9947 \pm 0.03\%$			
index	exp. value	calc. value	C/E
$\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}(^{55}\text{Mn})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$2.700\text{E}-03 \pm 7.41$	$4.119\text{E}-03 \pm 1.36$	$1.525\text{E}+00 \pm 7.53$
$\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}(^{59}\text{Co})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$3.800\text{E}-02 \pm 7.89$	$5.338\text{E}-03 \pm 1.30$	$1.405\text{E}-01 \pm 8.00$
$\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}(^{63}\text{Cu})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$1.170\text{E}-02 \pm 5.13$	$1.139\text{E}-02 \pm 1.33$	$9.733\text{E}-01 \pm 5.30$
$\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}(^{93}\text{Nb})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$3.000\text{E}-02 \pm 10.00$	$3.341\text{E}-02 \pm 1.45$	$1.114\text{E}+00 \pm 10.10$
$\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}(^{197}\text{Au})/\sigma_f(^{235}\text{U})$	$1.000\text{E}-01 \pm 2.00$	$9.358\text{E}-02 \pm 1.11$	$9.358\text{E}-01 \pm 2.29$

4. DISCUSSION

The calculated data presented in chapter 3 show that

- the value of k_{eff} is underpredicted by approximately 0.5% in calculations with JEF-2.2 data. This was already observed in several other GODIVA benchmark calculations.
- the values of central fission ratios are systematically underpredicted by 1 to 4%. This could indicate, that the fission cross section for ^{235}U in the JEF-2.2 evaluation is too high. The same observation was made in JEZEBEL benchmark calculations [4].
- some experimental values of central activation ratios are reproduced, but a strong overprediction of $\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}(^{55}\text{Mn})$ and a strong underprediction of $\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}(^{59}\text{Co})$ are observed. This agrees with other calculations (see e.g. [5]).

The values of central reaction rates depend on the definition of the central region, because of small size of the critical system. More experimental information is needed for a better geometrical modelling of the system.

14060123

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this report the results are presented of the GODIVA benchmark calculation. Calculations were performed with MCNP4A, using cross section data from the JEF-2.2 evaluation. Group cross section data and group reaction rates were generated in the group structure defined by Rowlands in [3].

It is observed, that the value of k_{eff} is underpredicted by approximately 0.5% in calculations with JEF-2.2 data.

Some indication is found for a too high value of the fission cross section for ^{235}U on the JEF-2.2 evaluation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cross Section Evaluation Working Group (ed.), *Benchmark Specifications*, Report BNL 19302; ENDF-202, November 1974
- [2] A. Hogenbirk: *EJ2-MCNP - Contents of the JEF-2.2 based neutron cross section library for MCNP4A*, Report ECN-I--95-017, Netherlands Energy Research Foundation ECN, May 1995
- [3] J. Rowlands: private communication, January 1995
- [4] A. Hogenbirk: *The JEZEBEL benchmark - Results of MCNP4A calculations*, Report ECN-I--95-023, Netherlands Energy Research Foundation ECN, June 1995
- [5] J. Oppe and A. J. Janssen: *Fast Reactor Benchmarking with JEF-2 data*, Report NFA-ENGINE-94-01, Netherlands Energy Research Foundation ECN, February 1994

14060125